PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

MAILED 0.2 FEB 2006

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILIT WIPO (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTIO	N	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
13-002				•			
International application No.		International filing date (day	/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US05/09548		24 March 2005 (24.03.2005)					
International Pa	tent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and II	PC .				
IPC(8): A61K 9	/10 and US Cl.: 424/439						
Applicant							
SOLTERO, RICHARD							
Exa	Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2. Th	This REPORT consists of a total of \(\frac{1}{2}\) sheets, including this cover sheet.						
3. Th	s report is also accomp	panied by ANNEXES, comp	orising:				
a.	The state of shorts as follows:						
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.						
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
	-	Priority					
		Non-establishment of opinic applicability	on with regard to no	velty, inventive step and industrial			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under industrial applicability, citat	Article 35(2) wit	h regard to novelty, inventive step or ons supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the intern	ational application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the					
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report					
13 October 20	05 (13.10.2005)		09 January 2006 (09				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Atm: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450			Authorized officer Net Kill Micah-Paul Young				
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201			Telephone No. (57)	1) 272.1600			
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(April 2005)							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.	
PCT/US05/09548	
I O I/ OBOD/ OF THE	

Box No	. I Basis of the report	
1. With	regard to the language, this report is based on:	
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed.	
	a translation of the international application into <u>English</u> , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	
	international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))	i
	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))	
	international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))	
to th	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished are receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not exed to this report):	
	the international application as originally filed/furnished	1
\boxtimes	the description:	
	pages 1-9 as originally filed/furnished	١
	pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages* NONE received by this Authority on	
	pages 10.12 as originally filed/furnished	1
	pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19	
}	pages* NONE received by this Authority on	١
	pages* NONE received by this Authority on	
	the drawings:	
	pages NONE as originally filed/furnished	
	pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages* NONE received by this Authority on	
	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.	
3.		
	the description, pages NONE	
	the claims, Nos. NONE	
	NA	
	the drawings, sheets/figs NONE the sequence listing (specify): NONE	
	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify): NONE	
4.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	
	the description, pages	
	the claims, Nos	
	the drawings, sheets/figs	
	the drawings, sheets age the sequence listing (specify):	
	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
* If	item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."	-

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US05/09548

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article applicability; citations and explana	35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ations supporting such statement	
1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims NONE	YES
	Claims <u>1-27</u>	ио
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims NONE	_YES
22. C. 21. P. (-2.)	Claims 1-27	ио
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-27	YES
industrial Applicatinity (1A)	Claims NONE	NO
1		

2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 12-21 and 23-27 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by YANG et al (US 4,950,689). See entire document including the examples. The reference shows a pectin gel matrix comprised of active ingredients and optional excipients. The gel is formulated in a manner such that it has a texture that enables easy swallowing. Particular drugs include those derived from plants are shown in column 4, line 29 column 5, line 19.

Claims 1-5, 10, 12-21 and 23-27 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by ROYER US 5,783,214. The reference shows a matrix comprised of gelatin and hyaluronic acid wherein the gelatin consists of type A and B having a Bloom Value of 60-300. The matrix may be orally administered. Columns 3-5. Particular drug formulations are shown in columns 7-9.

Claims 1-27 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by BUEHLER et al US 6,432,442. See examples 1-2. The reference shows gelatin matrices wherein the Bloom Value is from what about 150 to about 350. The matrices are formulated for improved texture and ease of administration. The matrices may further comprise hydroxypropyl cellulose, agar and other matrix forming gels. See detailed Description of the invention. The matrices comprise active agents, including plant extracts such as St. John's Wort.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 12-21 and 23-27 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by LUBER et al US 2003/0175336 A1. See examples 1-3, claims 1-11. The reference shows a polyethylene oxide matrix formulated for ease in swallowing. See [0014], [0035]. Particular active agents including herbal extracts are shown in sections [0016], [0020].

Claims 1-27 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/09548

Box No. VIII	Certain	observations	on the	international	application
--------------	---------	--------------	--------	---------------	-------------

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 16, 18, 20, 22, 24 and 26 objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims are indefinite for the following reason(s): For claims 16 and 18 reciting a broad range followed by linking terminology "such as" and then a narrow range makes it unclear as to what the intended limits of the claims are. The terminology does not set forth the subject matter in a clear and concise manner.

The terminology in claims 20, 22, 24 and 26 "selected from the group comprising" rather than "selected from the group consisting of" is confusing in that it is an improper representation of the group of elements.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. VIII) (April 2005)